Appendix 3 – Executive Committee March 21 - Changing Futures Risk Assessment

					Coveret		Baltigation Street
Risk ID	Risk Description	Type of	Likelihood	Impact	Severity (Likelihood	Owner	Mitigation Strategies Please give an outline of how you will
MISKID	пок резсприон	Risk	Likelillood	impact	x Impact)	Owner	mitigate this risk to delivery
STCF001	Could 10 makes a security of	Deninet	Possible	Moderate	9	South Tees	-
31CF001	Covid-19 reduces capacity of	Project-			9		We will mitigate this by continuing to
	local providers	level	(3)	(3)		Partnership	monitor that our local, blended
						Changing	approach with physical and virtual
						Futures	appointments/interventions is
						Governance and	reactive to current restrictions. The
						Steering Board	DPH is Project Sponsor for CF and is
						(STCFB)	also a key member of the COVID
							Recovery Group.
STCF002	Delay in procurement of	Project-	Unlikely	Major (4)	8	STCFB	Early engagement with relevant
	services and/or roles	level	(2)				colleagues and outline, concise
							procurement and recruitment
							processes agreed. Onward
							engagement of key colleagues
							throughout process. Executive
							approval is being sought. DPH is
							Project Sponsor and Exec Mamber
							project champion. Agreements with
							relevant colleagues and stakeholders
							will be secured upon funding award,
							including DPH, Finance Director and
							Head of Corporate Procurement. Soft
							market stimulation will be carried out
							and we are confident of relevant
							interest in the interventions that we
					_		are proposing.
STCF003	Project delivery is delayed	Project-	Unlikely	Major (4)	8	STCFB	Dedicated project team resources will
		level	(2)				be identified to deliver the required
							activity. Additional Project Support
							Officer will be requested. Proven track
							record of delivering similar projects,
							e.g. Project ADDER, PHE Capital
							funding, etc. CMT approval of CF has
							been given.
STCF004	Procurement process for	Project-	Unlikely	Moderate	6	STCFB	Learning from similar projects will
	local, integrated service	level	(2)	(3)			inform a procurement plan that will be
	model (including substance						developed complete with relevant
	misuse) is either delayed or						providers identified who can be asked
	unsuccessful						to quote.
STCF005	Inability to employ suitable	Project-	Unlikely	Major (4)	8	STCFB	Positive preliminary discussions have
	staff for the roles identified		(2)	, , ,			taken place with suitable partner
	via CF		(-)				organisations. There is strong interest
							in collaborating on CF and roles will be
							appointed as soon as the programme is
							launched. Staff with relevant
							knowledge and experience are already
							engaged in CF, which provides a safety
							net if there are any small delays
							(though these are not anticipated).
	aut		and the same				
STCF006	Other, competing local	Project-	Unlikely	Moderate	6	STCFB	Dedicated capacity has been identified
	priorities reduces focus on	level	(2)	(3)			in terms of a local project team and
	Changinf Futures						oversight group. There is senior
							commitment to delivering CF from
							across the ST partnership.
STCF007	Further cuts to local	Project-	Unlikely	Moderate	6	STCFB	Project Sponsor (DPH) will aim to
	investment in existing and	level	(2)	(3)			protect local investment and there are
	related services/capacity						no current plans or indications that any
							further cuts are to be made. The new,
							integrated model commenced in Apr
							21 and both Executive and CMT
							approval has been gained for this,
							including the budgets.
	1	I					1

		Type of			Severity		Mitigation Strategies
Risk ID	Risk Description	Risk	Likelihood	Impact	(Likelihood	Owner	Please give an outline of how you will
		Misk			x Impact)		mitigate this risk to delivery
STCF008	Lack of senior buy-in locally	Project- level	Unlikely (2)	Major (4)	8	STCFB	Relevant, senior colleagues have been kept informed about the potential CF
							programme opportunity from the outset. Commitment is evident as outline processes already agreed to enable CF to be successfully undertaken. This commitment has also been matched by relevant executive members.
STCF009	Desired outcomes are not delivered	Project- level	Unlikely (2)	Major (4)	8	STCFB	We have a strong track record of delivery in Middlesbrough/South Tees and do not anticipate issues in this regard. There is a history of innovative practice implemented by local services in partnership with commissioners. Local evaluation will be undertaken, which will provide a baseline for monitoring and allow us to measure the success of CF. Performance will be a standing agenda item on the STCFB to ensure that it is closely monitored and any remedial actions can be swiftly undertaken
STCF010	Projects may not be sustainable beyond CF funding timescales	Project- level	Possible (3)	Major (4)	12	STCFB	The local CF programme leads will aim to ensure a local legacy for CF. Driving collaboration with partner organisations and ensuring that sustainability is a factor in planning interventions will be a responsibility for this role. We have planned for sustainability in terms of our proposed interventions as much as possible.
STCF011	Delay in project commencement/launch or funding being allocated to LA, leading to compressed timescale for delivery of yr. 1 outcomes	Project- level	Possible (3)	Major (4)	12	STCFB	Maintain regular communication with national colleagues and do as much preparatory work as possible in order to hit the ground running.